

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/600,939	WHITEMORE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Korie H. Chan	3632	

All Participants:

Status of Application: allowed

(1) Korie H. Chan.

(3) _____.

(2) Anthony Onello, Jr.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 16 February 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Walker

Claims discussed:

see below

Prior art documents discussed:

Walker

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner proposed language in all independent claims to show antecedent basis for the claimed "a pole" and "an abutting surface". Also claim 56 has been cancelled such that its contents are incorporated into independent claim 55 to make allowable over Walker and the art of record. Claims 67 and 72 language was amended to read better. Claim 88 was amended to remove the redundant recitation already found in claim 62 from which claim 88 depends. All of the amendments were agreed upon by Mr. Onello, Jr..